

**Rating Action: Moody's downgrades South Africa's ratings to Ba2, maintains negative outlook**

---

20 Nov 2020

Paris, November 20, 2020 -- Moody's Investors Service ("Moody's") has today downgraded the Government of South Africa's long-term foreign-currency and local-currency issuer ratings to Ba2 from Ba1. It maintained the negative outlook in place since 1 November 2019.

Moody's also downgraded South Africa's long-term foreign-currency and local-currency senior unsecured debt ratings to Ba2 from Ba1, its provisional senior unsecured MTN programme and senior unsecured Shelf foreign-currency ratings to (P)Ba2 from (P)Ba1 and affirmed the provisional other short-term foreign-currency rating at (P)NP.

The key driver behind the rating downgrade to Ba2 is the further expected weakening in South Africa's fiscal strength over the medium term. Whereas the rating action earlier in 2020 reflected an erosion in the country's credit profile which predated the coronavirus crisis, today's action reflects Moody's assessment of the impact of the pandemic shock, both directly on the debt burden and indirectly by intensifying the country's economic challenges and the social obstacles to reforms. While South Africa is not alone in having been severely affected by the crisis, its capacity to mitigate the shock over the medium term is lower than that of many sovereigns given significant fiscal, economic and social constraints and rising borrowing costs. In recent years, the government's strategy has rested on structural reforms to promote medium-term growth as well as on fiscal consolidation. However, while the strategy remains in place, implementation risks have risen materially.

The maintenance of the negative outlook reflects the risks that the debt burden and debt affordability could deteriorate significantly more than Moody's currently projects. This partly reflects downside risks to both growth and the fiscal consolidation assumed in the baseline. It also reflects the potential for further financial demands from state-owned enterprises (SOEs) or for higher interest rates given possible shocks to confidence. If these risks were to materialise, the rise in the government debt burden would become increasingly difficult to slow down let alone reverse.

South Africa's long-term local-currency bond and bank deposits ceilings were lowered to Baa1 from A3, as well as the long-term foreign-currency bond and bank deposits ceilings to respectively Baa2 and Ba2 from Baa1 and Ba1. The short-term foreign-currency bond and bank deposits ceilings remain unchanged at respectively P-2 and NP.

**RATINGS RATIONALE**

**RATIONALE FOR THE RATING DOWNGRADE TO Ba2**

**FISCAL CHALLENGES HAVE INTENSIFIED AND WILL PERSIST FOR LONGER**

The coronavirus shock has intensified South Africa's fiscal challenges and exacerbated the upward trend in its government debt burden, which predate the coronavirus crisis. Moody's now projects government debt-to-GDP to rise to 110% by the end of fiscal year (FY) 2024 - including SOEs guarantees - equivalent to a 40 percentage point increase from FY2019.

The crisis will leave long-term scars on South Africa's fiscal position principally through two channels: a severe loss in revenue of about 5% of GDP, which the government cannot fully and quickly compensate through spending cuts nor recover; and rising borrowing costs. The government will register a wider deficit for FY2020 than Moody's expected earlier in March when it downgraded South Africa's ratings to Ba1 from Baa3, and wider deficits will persist into the medium term, resulting in a markedly steeper and more prolonged increase in the debt burden than expected in March.

In FY2020, Moody's forecasts the fiscal deficit for South Africa at about 15% of GDP, in line with the government's own budget plan last laid out in its October Medium Term Budget Policy Statement. This forecast assumes that the government will be able to adhere to its budgeted spending, especially as regards the wage bill. Its ability to do so is questionable. For example, the government aims to limit the increase in the wage bill

to 2% this year, which is below inflation and the rate set under the three-year agreement on public sector wages running for fiscal years 2018-2020, a decision that unions have contested in court.

From FY2022, interest costs will become the main contributor to debt-to-GDP increases, reducing fiscal flexibility further. South Africa's government debt affordability, measured as the portion of revenue needed to cover interest payments, will deteriorate to 25% in the medium term.

#### AS HAVE ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL CONSTRAINTS TO THE GOVERNMENT'S GROWTH STRATEGY

South Africa is not alone in having been severely affected by the crisis. However, its capacity to mitigate the shock over the medium term is lower than that of many sovereigns given significant fiscal, economic and social constraints which exacerbate the pressures from rising borrowing costs. In recent years, promotion of potential growth has been a key plank in the government's strategy to address rising debt. However, while the strategy remains in place, implementation risks have risen materially.

South Africa's economic growth will likely remain structurally low at around 1% in real terms over the medium term, exacerbating the country's fiscal challenges. This in itself is not new; low potential growth pre-dates the coronavirus shock. However, Moody's expects the crisis to intensify South Africa's long-standing economic and social constraints, making reforms even more challenging to implement and posing further risks to medium-term growth.

While the government has advanced several reforms, including for instance to fight corruption, the labour market continues to be plagued by rigidities. The resulting high unemployment rates will likely worsen as a result of the coronavirus shock. In turn, the country's income inequalities, already among the highest globally prior to the crisis, are likely to intensify, which in turn will hinder reform implementation and weigh on growth. The severe economic and social shock is likely to exacerbate the preexisting frictions between government, corporates and trade unions, further impeding reform implementation.

#### NEGATIVE OUTLOOK

The maintenance of the negative outlook reflects the risks that the debt burden and debt affordability could deteriorate significantly more than Moody's currently projects. This partly reflects downside risks to both growth and the fiscal consolidation assumed in the baseline. It also reflects the potential for further financial demands from state-owned enterprises (SOEs) or for higher interest rates given possible shocks to confidence. Eskom Holdings SOC Limited (B3 negative) remains a particular source of further contingent liability risk for the government; a solution to its financial viability which addresses its high debt level, 65% of which is government-guaranteed, remains incomplete, but could itself also lead to a further deterioration in government finances. If these risks were to materialise, the rise in the government debt burden would become increasingly difficult to slow down let alone reverse.

#### ENVIRONMENTAL, SOCIAL, GOVERNANCE CONSIDERATIONS

Environmental considerations exert material influence on South Africa's credit profile, mainly reflecting physical climate risk and water shortages. Due to its geographical location, South Africa is subject to frequent climate-related shocks such as droughts which undermine the agricultural sector's performance and have weighed on growth in recent years. That said, the country's economic diversification and sophisticated agricultural techniques mitigate the impact of environmental considerations on South Africa's credit profile.

Social considerations have a high impact on South Africa's credit profile and their implications for the economy and public finances are a driver of today's rating action. Deep socio-economic inequalities complicate the implementation of reforms which would otherwise unlock the economy's potential and contribute to tensions that fuel political risk.

Governance considerations are moderately supportive of South Africa's credit profile. South Africa's ranking under the Worldwide Governance Indicators is stronger than Ba2-rated sovereigns, and the strength of key institutions, in particular the South African Reserve Bank and the Treasury, continue to support the rating. However, set against those qualities, the broader erosion in institutional strength induced by the corruption of the Zuma administration, and in particular in fiscal policy effectiveness, is an important factor behind the erosion in South Africa's credit profile in recent years.

GDP per capita (PPP basis, US\$): 12,962 (2019 Actual) (also known as Per Capita Income)

Real GDP growth (% change): 0.2% (2019 Actual) (also known as GDP Growth)

Inflation Rate (CPI, % change Dec/Dec): 4% (2019 Actual)

Gen. Gov. Financial Balance/GDP: -6.4% (2019 Actual) (also known as Fiscal Balance)

Current Account Balance/GDP: -3% (2019 Actual) (also known as External Balance)

External debt/GDP: [not available]

Economic resiliency: baa3

Default history: At least one default event (on bonds and/or loans) has been recorded since 1983.

On 17 November 2020, a rating committee was called to discuss the rating of the South Africa, Government of. The main points raised during the discussion were: The issuer's economic fundamentals, including its economic strength, have not materially changed. The issuer's institutions and governance strength, have materially decreased. The issuer's fiscal or financial strength, including its debt profile, has materially decreased. The issuer's susceptibility to event risks has not materially changed.

#### FACTORS THAT COULD LEAD TO AN UPGRADE OR DOWNGRADE OF THE RATINGS

A rating upgrade is unlikely in the near future given the negative outlook.

Moody's would likely change the rating outlook to stable if it were to conclude that the government's efforts to consolidate its fiscal position were likely to slow and eventually arrest the rise in the debt burden and contribute to reducing the cost of debt. Any such outcome would likely rest on a slow but durable pick-up in growth, at least partly as a result of meaningful and effective labour market or power sector reforms, and a steadily-narrowing fiscal deficit. On the fiscal side, an agreement with the unions which substantially moderates future increases in the wage bill would also be supportive.

Moody's would likely downgrade South Africa's ratings if it concluded that its debt burden, and the related pressure on debt affordability, were likely to rise materially faster and for longer than currently assumed. That conclusion could reflect further difficulties in implementing growth-enhancing reforms, ongoing shocks to primary expenditure or revenues or sustained rises in the level or volatility of interest rates. Any indication of diminished access to funding at interest rates that would further endanger the government debt sustainability would put significant downward pressure on the rating.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Sovereign Ratings Methodology published in November 2019 and available at [https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_1158631](https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_1158631). Alternatively, please see the Rating Methodologies page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

The weighting of all rating factors is described in the methodology used in this credit rating action, if applicable.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For further specification of Moody's key rating assumptions and sensitivity analysis, see the sections Methodology Assumptions and Sensitivity to Assumptions in the disclosure form. Moody's Rating Symbols and Definitions can be found at: [https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_79004](https://www.moodys.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_79004).

For ratings issued on a program, series, category/class of debt or security this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series, category/class of debt, security or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this

credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

These ratings are solicited. Please refer to Moody's Policy for Designating and Assigning Unsolicited Credit Ratings available on its website [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com).

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Moody's general principles for assessing environmental, social and governance (ESG) risks in our credit analysis can be found at [https://www.moody.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC\\_1133569](https://www.moody.com/researchdocumentcontentpage.aspx?docid=PBC_1133569).

Please see [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moody.com](http://www.moody.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Lucie Villa  
VP - Senior Credit Officer  
Sovereign Risk Group  
Moody's France SAS  
96 Boulevard Haussmann  
Paris 75008  
France  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Marie Diron  
MD - Sovereign Risk  
Sovereign Risk Group  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office:  
Moody's France SAS  
96 Boulevard Haussmann  
Paris 75008  
France  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

**MOODY'S**  
INVESTORS SERVICE

© 2020 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

**CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND/OR ITS CREDIT RATINGS AFFILIATES ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MATERIALS, PRODUCTS, SERVICES AND INFORMATION PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S (COLLECTIVELY, "PUBLICATIONS") MAY INCLUDE SUCH CURRENT OPINIONS. MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING**

**SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE CREDIT RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS, NON-CREDIT ASSESSMENTS ("ASSESSMENTS"), AND OTHER OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. AND/OR ITS AFFILIATES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS DO NOT COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS AND OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLISHES ITS PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.**

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS, AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS OR PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS, ASSESSMENTS, OTHER OPINIONS AND PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing its Publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents,

representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING, ASSESSMENT, OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and Moody's Investors Service also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of Moody's Investors Service credit ratings and credit rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold credit ratings from Moody's Investors Service and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any credit rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for credit ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.